

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/593,357 | VERSECK ET AL. | |

| | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| Young J. Kim | 1637 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: After-Allowance

(1) Young J. Kim.

(3) _____.

(2) Robert Bedgood.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 18 November 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Young J Kim/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Bedgood was contacted to amend the specification for the purpose of removing reference to claim numbers which were no longer consistent with the claims which were being allowed. Mr. Bedgood agreed to the amendment with the understanding that the amendment is being made solely for formal purposes and does not have any bearing on the scope of the claims being issued..